Lecture 9 Social Planner's Problem

Hui-Jun Chen

The Ohio State University

June 9, 2022

Overview

After constructing both consumers' and firms' problem, we start to bring them together in one-period model:

PPF

- Lecture 8: competitive equilibrium (CE)
 - each agent solve their problems individually
 - aggregate decision determines "prices" (wage, rent, etc.)
- Lecture 9: social planer's problem (SPP)
 - imaginary and benevolent social planner determines the allocation
 - should be the most efficient outcome
- Lecture 10: CE and SPP examples

What is Social Planner?

 Benevolent dictator whose goal is to maximize social welfare given technological constraint

PPF

Pareto Efficiency

- **Social welfare**: joint "happiness" of every agent in this economy
 - consumer: tangency between IC and budget line in (C, l)-plane

• firm:
$$Y = zF(K, N) = zF(K, h - l)$$

– labor market clearing: $N = N^s = N^d$

- consistent with consumer behavior: N = h l
- government: income-expenditure identity, C = Y G
 - government is not necessary the social planner! (also one of the agents)
- **Technological constraint**: production possibility frontier

Pareto Efficiency

PPF

Production Possibility Frontier (PPF)

Def: technological possibilities for the whole economy

$$C = zF(K, h - l) - G \quad (1)$$

 Marginal rate of transformation (MRT): rate to transform leisure to consumption (through work)

$$MRT_{l,C} = zD_N F(K,N)$$

= MPN (2)

Figure 5.2 The Production Function and the Production Possibilities Frontier



4/11

Competitive Equilibrium: Graphcial Representation



Combine PPF with IC:

- *AD*: tangent to consumer's IC *I*₁ and PPF *FH*
- negative slope of AD: equilibrium wage w
 - $\because \overline{AD}$ is budget line
- Recall Lecture 8 & last slide:
 - conumser: $MRS_{l,C} = w$
 - firm: MPN = w
 - efficiency: $MRT_{l,C} = MPN$

 $MRS_{l,C} = MRT_{l,C} = MPN$

Social Planner

Concept: Pareto Improvement / Optimal

A competitive equilibrium is **Pareto optimal** or **Pareto efficient** if there is no way to rearrange production or to reallocate goods so that someone is made better off without making someone else worse off.

- only one consumer, so relatively straightforward
- but, still a powerful concept:
 - free markets can produce socially efficient outcomes
 - often easier to analyze social optimum than competitive equilibrium
- caveats:
 - "efficiency" in economics is a statement about a model
 - very narrow: e.g. having Jeff Bezos pay for a meal for someone in need.

Social Planner

Social Planner's Problem

0

bjective: consumer's utility
$$\max_{C,l,N,Y} U(C,l)$$

subject to
agg. resource constraint $C+G \leq Y$
production constraint $Y = zF(K,N)$
labor constraint $N = h - l$

- What's here: GDP accounting, physical / technological constraints, required government spending, consumer preferences
- What's not: consumer's budget constraint, the wage rate, consumer's / firm's individual problems, profits, taxes
 Hui-Jun Chen (OSU)
 Lecture 9
 June 9, 2022
 7/11

Pareto Efficiency

Solving Social Planner's Problem

We know all constraints bind, so by substituting:

$$\max_{l} U(zF(K, h-l) - G, l)$$
(3)

Pareto Efficiency

FOC:

$$D_{l}U(zF(K, h - l) - G, l) = D_{C}U(zF(K, h - l) - G, l)(zD_{N}F(K, h - l))$$
(4)

PPF

Rearrange:

$$\frac{D_l U(zF(K,h-l)-G,l)}{D_C U(zF(K,h-l)-G,l)} = zD_N F(K,h-l) \Rightarrow MRS_{l,C} = MRT_{l,C}$$
(5)

Same Result! Why?

Welfare Theorem

- First welfare theorem: under centain conditions, the allocation under a competitive equilibrium is Pareto optimal
- Second welfare theorem: under certain conditions, a Pareto optimal allocation is the allocation for a competitive equilibrium.

PPF

- straightforward to show here (we already have!) but no always so.
 - conditions not always met!
- SPP and CE often alike if not identical, serves as a good benchmark

Social Planner's Problem: Graphical Representation

Figure 5.4 Pareto Optimality



Apply SPP & 2nd welfare theorme for competitive equilibrium:

- $\blacksquare \ l^*$ determined by SPP at B
- C^{*}, N^{*}, Y^{*} by plugging into constraints

•
$$w^* = MPN = MRT_{l,C} = MRS_{l,C}$$

Social Planner

What Can Go Wrong? Cases when SPP \neq CE

- Externalities: activity for which an individual does not take account of all associated costs and benefits: can be positive or negative
 - example: pollution must be cleaned up, but firm doesn't have to
- Distorting taxes: lead to "wedges" between MRS, MP, and MRT
 - example: proportional labor income tax vs lump-sum tax
- Son-competitive / monopolistic behavior: firms or consumers may not be price takers
 - examples: local media markets, negotiations